arXiv:1601.06264v1 [math.FA] 23 Jan 2016

Algebraic sets of types A, B, and C coincide

Torben Maack Bisgaard and Jan Stochel

Abstract. It is proved that the definition of an algebraic set of type A (a notion related to the multidimensional Hamburger moment problem) does not depend on the choice of a polynomial describing the algebraic set in question and that an algebraic set of type B is always of type A. This answers in the affirmative two questions posed in 1992 by the second author. It is also shown that an algebraic set is of type A if and only if it is of type C (a notion linked to orthogonality of polynomials of several variables). This, in turn, enables us to answer three questions posed in 2005 by Cichoń, Stochel, and Szafraniec.

1. Introduction

It is well known that each Hamburger moment n-sequence on an algebraic subset V of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is positive definite and satisfies a certain system of algebraic equations generated by V. If the converse holds, the algebraic set V is called of *type* A or B, depending on the system of equations (cf. [**39**]). The knowledge of various classes of algebraic sets of type A seems to be of great importance because of applications, not only in moment problems, but also in the theory of orthogonal polynomials in several variables (cf. [**12**]). We now provide a brief overview of some known classes of algebraic sets of type A. First, note that any algebraic subset V of $\mathbb{R}$ is of type A. Indeed, the case of $V = \mathbb{R}$ follows from Hamburger's theorem (cf. [**4**]), while the case of $V \subsetneq \mathbb{R}$ can be inferred from [**12**, Lemma 49] (or [**12**, Theorem 43]). In turn, each compact algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is of type A (see [**12**, Theorem 43] which heavily depends on [**37**, Theorem 1]). By [**39**, Theorem 5.4], every algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ induced by a non-zero polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, X_2]$ of degree at most 2 is of type A. However, as shown in [**39**, Theorem 6.3], there exists an algebraic subset V of $\mathbb{R}^2$ induced by a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, X_2]$ of degree 3 (e.g. $P = X_2(X_2 - X_1^2)$) such that V is not of type A (using a quotient technique from Section 6, the careful reader can deduce from [**29**] that the polynomial $P = X_1^3 - X_2^2$ induces an algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ which is not of type A). The question of when an algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ induced by a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ of the form

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 44A60, 14P05; Secondary 13F20, 42C05.

Key words and phrases. Polynomial ideal, real radical, positive definite functional, multidimensional moment problem, (real) algebraic set of type A, B, C, orthogonal polynomials in several variables.

Running expenses of the first author were covered by the Carlsberg Foundation. The second author has been supported by the MNiSzW grant N201 026 32/1350.

$P = X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n} - X_1^{\beta_1} \cdots X_n^{\beta_n}$ ($\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n$ are nonnegative integers) is of type A has been completely answered in [**6**]. The interested reader is referred to [**34, 22, 23, 18, 11, 1, 36, 28, 21, 39, 40, 6, 41, 42, 12**] for further information concerning this subject including other classes of sets of type A (see also [**2, 27, 10, 37, 17, 33, 13, 14, 31, 38, 19, 30, 15, 16**] for moments on semi-algebraic sets and related problems).

In this paper we show that the definition of type A is correct and that types A and B coincide (cf. Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 as well as Theorems 4.4 and 4.5). This answers in the affirmative two questions posed in [**39**]. The main tool in our considerations is the Real Nullstellensatz, the celebrated theorem of real algebraic geometry. A substantial part of the paper is devoted to the study of positive definite functionals vanishing on polynomial ideals.

The notion of type C was invented in [**12**] to distinguish a class of polynomial ideals which have the property that each sequence of polynomials in several variables satisfying an appropriate three term recurrence relation modulo the polynomial ideal is orthogonal with respect to some positive measure. We prove that an algebraic subset V of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is of type A if and only if the set ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathsf{c}}(V)$ is of type C (cf. Theorem 7.4), which answers in the affirmative Question 2 posed in [**12**]. In fact, Theorem 7.4 enables us to answer in the negative two more questions (Questions 3 and 4) posed in [**12**]. Namely, we show that there exists a non-zero set ideal of $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ ($n \geqslant 2$) which is not of type C and that the zero ideal of $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ ($n \geqslant 2$) is not of type C. Let us point out that [**12**, Question 4] was answered in the negative for $N = 2$ by Friedrich [**20**]; he constructed a strictly positive definite linear functional on $\mathbb{C}[X_1, X_2]$ which is not a moment functional, showing that the zero ideal of $\mathbb{C}[X_1, X_2]$ is not of type C. The proof of Theorem 7.4 depends on a quotient technique for finitely generated commutative (real or complex) $*$-algebras with unit worked out in Section 6.

Types A, B, and C are shown to be equivalent also in the context of the multidimensional complex moment problem (cf. Section 8). The paper ends with Appendix which contains complex counterparts of Lemmata 2.2 and 2.3.

Let us point out that in Sections 2 to 5 we use the notation (P), $\mathcal{I}(Z)$ and $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$ only in the case of the real algebra $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, while in Sections 6, 7, and 8 the same notation is used also in the case of the complex $*$-algebra $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$. Since it is clear from the context which algebra is considered, there is no clash of notation. In Sections 4 and 5, and Appendix A the complexified notation $(P)_{\mathsf{c}}$, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathsf{c}}(Z)$ and $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda^{\mathsf{c}}$ is employed in order to distinguish it from the real one in the case when both are used simultaneously.

2. Positive definite functionals vanishing on ideals

In what follows $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ stand for the fields of real and complex numbers respectively. Let n be a positive integer. Denote by $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ the real algebra of all polynomials in n commuting indeterminates $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ with real coefficients. Given a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, a subset Z of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and an ideal I of $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} (P) &= \text{ the ideal of } \mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n] \text{ generated by } P, \\ \mathcal{I}(Z) &= \{P \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n] \colon P(x) = 0 \text{ for every } x \in Z\}, \\ \mathcal{Z}(I) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon P(x) = 0 \text{ for every } P \in I\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

The following properties of $\mathcal{Z}(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$ are easy and elementary to prove.

LEMMA 2.1. *If I and J are ideals of $\mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$ such that $I \subset J$, then $I \subset \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I)) \subset \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(J))$. If $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then $Z \subset \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}(Z))$ and $\mathcal{I}(Z) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}(Z)))$.*

An ideal I of $\mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$ is said to be a *set ideal* if there exists a subset Z of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $I = \mathcal{I}(Z)$. It is worth noting that there are ideals which are not set ideals (e.g. $I = (X_1^s)$, where $s \geqslant 2$ is an integer). A set of the form $\mathcal{Z}(I)$, where I is an ideal of $\mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$, is called a (*real*) *algebraic set*. It is known that for each algebraic subset V of $\mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$ such that $V = \mathcal{Z}_P := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n\colon P(x) = 0\}$ (indeed, by Hilbert's basis theorem the ideal I is generated by finitely many polynomials $Q_1,\dots,Q_m \in \mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$ and so $\mathcal{Z}(I) = \mathcal{Z}_Q$ with $Q = Q_1^2 + \ldots + Q_m^2$). If $V = \mathcal{Z}_P$, then we say that V is an algebraic set *induced* by P. For fundamentals of the theory of real algebraic sets we recommend the monographs [**3, 9**].

Let I be an ideal of $\mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$. We say that I is *real* if for every finite sequence $Q_1,\dots,Q_s \in \mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$ such that $Q_1^2 + \cdots + Q_s^2 \in I$, we have $Q_1,\dots,Q_s \in I$. Denote by $\sqrt[\mathrm{R}]{I}$ the *real radical* of I, that is the intersection of all real prime ideals of $\mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$ containing I (if there is no such prime ideal, then we put $\sqrt[\mathrm{R}]{I} = \mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$).

We now recall a useful description of the real radical.

LEMMA 2.2 ([**9**, Proposition 4.1.7]). *Let I be an ideal of $\mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$. Then $\sqrt[\mathrm{R}]{I}$ is the smallest real ideal of $\mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$ containing I. Moreover, a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$ belongs to $\sqrt[\mathrm{R}]{I}$ if and only if there exist finitely many polynomials $Q_1,\dots,Q_s \in \mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$ and an integer $m \geqslant 0$ such that $P^{2m}+Q_1^2+\cdots+Q_s^2 \in I$.*

The following variant of the Real Nullstellensatz plays a crucial role in the present paper.

LEMMA 2.3 ([**9**, Corollary 4.1.8]). *If I is an ideal of $\mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$, then*

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I)) = \sqrt[\mathrm{R}]{I}.$$

A linear functional $\Lambda\colon \mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n] \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *positive definite* if $\Lambda(P^2) \geqslant 0$ for every $P \in \mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$. Note that every positive definite linear functional $\Lambda\colon \mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n] \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Schwarz inequality

$$|\Lambda(PQ)| \leqslant \Lambda(P^2)^{1/2}\Lambda(Q^2)^{1/2}, \quad P,Q \in \mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]. \tag{2.2}$$

Given a positive definite linear functional $\Lambda\colon \mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n] \to \mathbb{R}$, we write

$$\mathcal{I}_\Lambda = \{P \in \mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]\colon \Lambda(P^2) = 0\}.$$

We now state three basic properties of the set $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$.

LEMMA 2.4. *If $\Lambda\colon \mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a positive definite linear functional, then*[1]

(i) *$\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$ is the greatest ideal of $\mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$ contained in the kernel of Λ,*
(ii) *$\mathcal{I}_\Lambda = \sqrt[\mathrm{R}]{\mathcal{I}_\Lambda}$,*
(iii) *$\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$ is a set ideal.*

[1] It follows from (ii) that $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda = \sqrt{\mathcal{I}_\Lambda}$ because $I \subset \sqrt{I} \subset \sqrt[\mathrm{R}]{I}$ for every ideal I of $\mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n]$, $\sqrt{I}$ being the radical of I (see [**24**] for the basic properties of the radical).

PROOF. (i) Since the mapping $P \mapsto \Lambda(P^2)^{1/2}$ is a seminorm on $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, we deduce that $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$ is a vector space. Using (2.2), we get

$$|\Lambda((PQ)^2)| \leqslant \Lambda(P^2)^{1/2}\Lambda(P^2Q^4)^{1/2} = 0, \quad P \in \mathcal{I}_\Lambda,\, Q \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n],$$

which shows that $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$ is an ideal of $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Applying (2.2) to $P \in \mathcal{I}_\Lambda$ and $Q = 1$, we see that $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$ is contained in the kernel $\ker \Lambda$ of Λ. Moreover, if J is an ideal of $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ such that $J \subset \ker \Lambda$, then for every $P \in J$, $P^2 \in J \subset \ker \Lambda$ and consequently $P \in \mathcal{I}_\Lambda$; hence $J \subset \mathcal{I}_\Lambda$, which proves (i).

(ii) By Lemma 2.2, it is enough to show that the ideal $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$ is real. If $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ are such that $Q_1^2 + \cdots + Q_s^2 \in \mathcal{I}_\Lambda$, then by (i) we have

$$0 = \Lambda(Q_1^2 + \cdots + Q_s^2) = \Lambda(Q_1^2) + \cdots + \Lambda(Q_s^2),$$

which, when combined with positive definiteness of Λ, implies that $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \in \mathcal{I}_\Lambda$.

(iii) is a direct consequence of (ii) and Lemma 2.3. □

The next lemma constitutes the main tool for our further investigations.

LEMMA 2.5. *Let I be an ideal of $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and let $\Lambda\colon \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive definite linear functional. Then Λ vanishes on I if and only if it vanishes on $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I))$.*

PROOF. Since $I \subset \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I))$, it suffices to prove the "only if" part of the conclusion. If Λ vanishes on I, then, by Lemma 2.4 (i), we get $I \subseteq \mathcal{I}_\Lambda$. This, together with Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 (iii) and (i), implies that

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I)) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}_\Lambda)) = \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \subseteq \ker \Lambda,$$

which completes the proof. □

3. Types A and B coincide

A functional $\Lambda\colon \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n] \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a *moment functional* on a closed subset F of $\mathbb{R}^n$ if there exists a positive Borel measure μ on F such that[2]

$$\Lambda(Q) = \int_F Q(x)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(x), \quad Q \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]. \tag{3.1}$$

A positive Borel measure μ on F satisfying (3.1) is called a *representing measure* of Λ. We shall abbreviate the expression "moment functional on $\mathbb{R}^n$" simply to "moment functional". A representing measure of a moment functional may not be unique (cf. [**4**]). Obviously, each moment functional on a closed subset F of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a moment functional (but not conversely) and each moment functional is a positive definite linear functional (but not conversely except for $n = 1$, cf. [**4, 20, 7, 8**]).

Consider a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. In view of [**39**, Proposition 2.1], a moment functional Λ is a moment functional on $\mathcal{Z}_P$ if and only if Λ vanishes on the principal ideal (P), or equivalently on the ideal $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_P)$. Hence, each moment functional on $\mathcal{Z}_P$ is a positive definite linear functional vanishing on $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_P)$, and consequently on (P). Following [**39**], we say that P is of *type* A (respectively B) if each positive definite linear functional $\Lambda\colon \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n] \to \mathbb{R}$ vanishing on (P) (respectively $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_P)$) is a moment functional; any such functional is automatically a moment functional on $\mathcal{Z}_P$. Clearly, each polynomial of type A is of type B.

[2] We tacitly assume that all polynomials are absolutely integrable with respect to μ; in particular, μ has to be finite.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be such that $\mathcal{Z}_P = \mathcal{Z}_Q$. Then P is of type* A *if and only if Q is of type* A.

PROOF. Suppose that P is of type A. Set $I = (P)$ and $J = (Q)$. Then

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I)) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_P) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_Q) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(J)). \tag{3.2}$$

If a positive definite linear functional $\Lambda\colon \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n] \to \mathbb{R}$ vanishes on J, then by (3.2) and Lemma 2.5, the functional Λ vanishes on $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I)) \supset I$. Since P is of type A, Λ is a moment functional. Hence Q is of type A. By symmetry the proof is complete. □

Let us recall the original definitions of algebraic sets of types A and B from [**39**].

DEFINITION. An algebraic subset V of $\mathbb{R}^n$ induced by a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is of *type* A (respectively B) if P is of type A (respectively B).

Theorem 3.1 implies that the definition of algebraic sets of type A is correct; that is, it shows that the meaning of the statement "V is of type A" is independent of the choice of a polynomial P which induces the algebraic set V. This answers in the affirmative a question posed in [**39**, Section 4]. Certainly, the definition of type B is correct.

The next theorem is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.5 (with $I = (P)$). It answers in the affirmative another question posed in [**39**, Section 4].

THEOREM 3.2. *An algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is of type* A *if and only if it is of type* B.

4. Complexification

In a recent paper [**12**], types A and B have been "complexified". The reason for this modification comes from the spectral theory of Hilbert space operators which is well developed in the complex case. We now discuss this in more detail.

In what follows, members of $\mathcal{P}_n := \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and $\mathcal{R}_n := \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ will be identified with complex and real polynomial functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ respectively. The set $\mathcal{P}_n$ is a $*$-algebra with involution $(P + \mathrm{i}Q)^* := P - \mathrm{i}Q$ for $P, Q \in \mathcal{R}_n$. We say that a complex linear functional $\Lambda\colon \mathcal{P}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ is *positive definite* if $\Lambda(P^*P) \geqslant 0$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$. If Λ is a positive definite complex linear functional on $\mathcal{P}_n$, then

$$\Lambda(P^*) = \overline{\Lambda(P)}, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_n \quad (\text{equivalently: } \Lambda(\mathcal{R}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}),$$

and so $\Lambda_{\mathsf{r}} := \Lambda|_{\mathcal{R}_n}$ is a positive definite real linear functional on $\mathcal{R}_n$. The mapping $\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda_{\mathsf{r}}$ is a bijection between the set of all positive definite complex linear functionals on $\mathcal{P}_n$ and the set of all positive definite real linear functionals on $\mathcal{R}_n$. Given a positive definite complex linear functional Λ on $\mathcal{P}_n$ and $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_\Lambda^{\mathsf{c}} &= \{P \in \mathcal{P}_n\colon \Lambda(P^*P) = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{I}_{\mathsf{c}}(Z) &= \{P \in \mathcal{P}_n\colon P(x) = 0 \text{ for every } x \in Z\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Moreover, we denote by $(P)_{\mathsf{c}}$ the ideal of $\mathcal{P}_n$ generated by $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$. We point out that the sets $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$, $\mathcal{I}(Z)$ and (P) defined in Section 2 are ideals of $\mathcal{R}_n$, the first two being real, and that the sets $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda^{\mathsf{c}}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathsf{c}}(Z)$ defined in (4.1) are ideals of $\mathcal{P}_n$ that may not be real ($\mathcal{P}_n$ is the only real ideal of $\mathcal{P}_n$). The following simple fact is stated without proof (we write $\mathcal{Z}_P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n\colon P(x) = 0\}$ also for $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$).

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$. A positive definite complex linear functional Λ on $\mathcal{P}_n$ vanishes on $(P)_{\mathsf{c}}$ (respectively $\mathcal{I}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathcal{Z}_P)$) if and only if Λ_{r} vanishes on (P^*P) (respectively $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_P)$). Moreover, if $P \in \mathcal{R}_n$, then (P^*P) can be replaced in the above equivalence by (P).*

We now formulate "complexified" variants of Lemmata 2.4 and 2.5 (see also Appendix for the complex counterparts of Lemmata 2.2 and 2.3).

LEMMA 4.2. *If Λ is a positive definite complex linear functional on $\mathcal{P}_n$, then*

(i) *$\mathcal{I}_\Lambda^{\mathsf{c}}$ is the greatest ideal of $\mathcal{P}_n$ contained in the kernel of Λ,*
(ii) *$\mathcal{I}_\Lambda^{\mathsf{c}}$ is a set ideal (i.e. $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda^{\mathsf{c}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathsf{c}}(Z)$ for some $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$).*

PROOF. (i) is proved in [**12**, pages 24 and 42].

(ii) By Lemma 2.4, there exists $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_{\mathsf{r}}} = \mathcal{I}(Z)$. This in turn implies that $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda^{\mathsf{c}} = \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_{\mathsf{r}}} + \mathrm{i}\,\mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_{\mathsf{r}}} = \mathcal{I}(Z) + \mathrm{i}\,\mathcal{I}(Z) = \mathcal{I}_{\mathsf{c}}(Z)$. □

LEMMA 4.3. *If I is a $*$-ideal of $\mathcal{P}_n$ and Λ is a positive definite complex linear functional on $\mathcal{P}_n$, then Λ vanishes on I if and only if it vanishes on $\mathcal{I}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathcal{Z}(I))$, where $\mathcal{Z}(I)$ is defined in* (2.1).

PROOF. The set $I_{\mathsf{r}} := \{P \in I \colon P^* = P\}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{R}_n$ and $I = I_{\mathsf{r}} + \mathrm{i}\,I_{\mathsf{r}}$. Since $\mathcal{Z}(I) = \mathcal{Z}(I_{\mathsf{r}})$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathsf{c}}(Z) = \mathcal{I}(Z) + \mathrm{i}\,\mathcal{I}(Z)$ for each subset Z of $\mathbb{R}^n$, we get

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathcal{Z}(I)) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I_{\mathsf{r}})) + \mathrm{i}\,\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I_{\mathsf{r}})). \tag{4.2}$$

Thus, we have

$$\Lambda|_I = 0 \iff \Lambda_{\mathsf{r}}|_{I_{\mathsf{r}}} = 0 \overset{\text{Lemma } 2.5}{\iff} \Lambda_{\mathsf{r}}|_{\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I_{\mathsf{r}}))} = 0 \overset{(4.2)}{\iff} \Lambda|_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathcal{Z}(I))} = 0,$$

which completes the proof. □

Take $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Following [**12**, Section 10], we say that $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is of *type* A (respectively B) if each positive definite complex linear functional Λ on $\mathcal{P}_n$ vanishing on $(P)_{\mathsf{c}}$ (respectively $\mathcal{I}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathcal{Z}_P)$) is a moment functional. Clearly, each polynomial of type A is of type B. By Lemma 4.1, the "complexified" definitions of types A and B coincide with the "real" ones for every $P \in \mathcal{R}_n$. Applying again Lemma 4.1, we see that $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is of type A (respectively B) if and only if P^*P is of type A (respectively B). This fact and the equality $\mathcal{Z}_S = \mathcal{Z}_{S^*S}$, $S \in \mathcal{P}_n$, imply that Theorem 3.1 remains valid for all $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_n$.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_n$ be such that $\mathcal{Z}_P = \mathcal{Z}_Q$. Then P is of type A if and only if Q is of type A.*

Knowing this, we can define correctly type A for an algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ induced by a polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Finally, we see that Theorem 3.2 remains valid in the "complexified" setting as well.

THEOREM 4.5. *An algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ induced by a polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is of type A if and only if it is of type B.*

COROLLARY 4.6. *Let V and W be algebraic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $V \subset W$. If W is of type A, then so is V.*

PROOF. Apply [**12**, Proposition 48] and Theorem 4.5. □

5. Type C

Following [**12**, Proposition 42], we say that a $*$-ideal I of $\mathcal{P}_n$ is of *type* C if each positive definite complex linear functional Λ on $\mathcal{P}_n$ satisfying the equality $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda^{\mathsf{c}} = I$ is a moment functional. An algebraic subset V of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is of *type* C if the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathsf{c}}(V)$ is of type C. Each algebraic set of type A is automatically of type C. In Section 7 we will show that the converse implication is true as well. In view of the proof of Lemma 4.2 (ii), we see that an algebraic subset V of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is of type C if and only if each positive definite real linear functional Λ on $\mathcal{R}_n$ satisfying the equality $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda = \mathcal{I}(V)$ is a moment functional.

We now complete [**12**, Proposition 41].

PROPOSITION 5.1. *If I is a proper $*$-ideal of $\mathcal{P}_n$, then the following three conditions are equivalent*

(i) *I is a set ideal,*
(ii) *there exists a positive definite complex linear functional Λ on $\mathcal{P}_n$ such that $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda^{\mathsf{c}} = I$,*
(iii) *there exists a rigid I-basis $\{Q_k\}_{k=0}^m$ of $\mathcal{P}_n$ composed of real column polynomials (with $Q_0 = 1$) and a complex linear functional Λ on $\mathcal{P}_n$ such that $\Lambda(Q_k Q_l^*)$ is the zero matrix for all $k \neq l$, $\Lambda(Q_k Q_k^*)$ is the identity matrix for all k, and $I \subset \ker \Lambda$.*

PROOF. (i)⇒(ii) See the proof of [**12**, Proposition 41 (ii)].

(ii)⇒(i) Apply Lemma 4.2 (ii).

(ii)⇒(iii) Since $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda^{\mathsf{c}} = I$ and the ideal I is proper, the functional Λ must be non-zero. Employing [**12**, Proposition 32 (i)⇒(ii)], [**12**, Remark 33] and the fact that $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda^{\mathsf{c}} \subset \ker \Lambda$, we get (iii).

(iii)⇒(ii) Use [**12**, Theorem 36]. □

In fact, in view of the proof of [**12**, Proposition 41 (ii)], if I is a set ideal of $\mathcal{P}_n$, then there always exists a (complex linear) moment functional Λ on $\mathcal{P}_n$ such that $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda^{\mathsf{c}} = I$ (this is much more than required in Proposition 5.1 (ii)).

6. Semiperfect $*$-algebras

Our next goal is to study a class of $*$-algebras in which positive definite linear functionals are automatically moment functionals. The results we obtain will be used in Section 7. In what follows $\mathbb{K}$ stands either for $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. In this section A is assumed to be a finitely generated commutative $*$-algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ with a unit e (the unit and the zero elements of A are always assumed to be different). One can show that if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, then A is the direct sum of two vector spaces $A_{\mathrm{R}} := \{a \in A\colon a^* = a\}$ and $\{a \in A\colon a^* = -a\}$, the first of which is a finitely generated unital $*$-algebra with the identity mapping as involution. If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, then A always has a finite set of selfadjoint generators (if necessary replace the old generators $a_1, \dots, a_n$ of A by selfadjoint ones $\{a_k + a_k^*\}_{k=1}^n \cup \{\mathrm{i}(a_k - a_k^*)\}_{k=1}^n$). Denote by $\Delta^*(A)$ the set of all multiplicative linear functionals $\gamma\colon A \to \mathbb{K}$ such that $\gamma(e) = 1$ and $\gamma(a^*) = \overline{\gamma(a)}$ for every $a \in A$. Equip $\Delta^*(A)$ with the topology of pointwise convergence. For $a \in A$, we define the continuous mapping $\hat{a}\colon \Delta^*(A) \to \mathbb{K}$ by $\hat{a}(\gamma) := \gamma(a)$ for $\gamma \in \Delta^*(A)$. If $\mathbf{a} := (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ are generators of A (which are assumed to be selfadjoint in the case of $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$), then the mapping

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}\colon \Delta^*(A) \ni \gamma \mapsto (\gamma(a_1), \dots, \gamma(a_n)) \in \mathcal{R}(\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \tag{6.1}$$

is a homeomorphic embedding of $\Delta^*(A)$ onto a closed subset $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_{\mathbf{a}})$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$. This implies that the σ-algebra of all Borel subsets of $\Delta^*(A)$ is the smallest σ-algebra of subsets of $\Delta^*(A)$ with respect to which all the mappings $\hat{a}$, $a \in A$, are measurable. We say that A is Δ^*-*separative* if $\Delta^*(A) \neq \varnothing$ and $\bigcap_{\gamma\in\Delta^*(A)} \ker\gamma = \{0\}$ (equivalently: the mapping $A \ni a \mapsto \hat{a} \in \mathbb{K}^{\Delta^*(A)}$ is injective). If A is Δ^*-separative, then the mapping $a \mapsto \hat{a} \circ \Phi_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1}$ is a $*$-algebra isomorphism between $*$-algebras A and $A_{\mathbf{a}}$, where $A_{\mathbf{a}}$ consists of all functions $f\colon \mathcal{R}(\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}) \to \mathbb{K}$ for which there exists $P \in \mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that $f(x) = P(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}(\Phi_{\mathbf{a}})$ ($A_{\mathbf{a}}$ is equipped with pointwise defined algebraic operations and involution $f^*(x) = \overline{f(x)}$ for $x \in \mathcal{R}(\Phi_{\mathbf{a}})$).

A linear functional $\Lambda\colon A \to \mathbb{K}$ such that $\Lambda(a^*a) \geqslant 0$ for all $a \in A$ is called *positive definite*. A linear functional $\Lambda\colon A \to \mathbb{K}$ is said to be *strictly positive definite* if $\Lambda(a^*a) > 0$ for all $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Denote by $\mathcal{PD}(A)$ the set of all positive definite linear functionals on A and equip it with the topology of pointwise convergence. Clearly, $\Delta^*(A)$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{PD}(A)$. We say that A is *semiperfect* if $\Delta^*(A) \neq \varnothing$ and for every $\Lambda \in \mathcal{PD}(A)$ there is a positive Borel measure μ on $\Delta^*(A)$ such that

$$\Lambda(a) = \int_{\Delta^*(A)} \hat{a}\,\mathrm{d}\mu, \quad a \in A. \tag{6.2}$$

By (6.1), such μ is automatically regular (cf. [**35**, Theorem 2.18]). The functional Λ of the form (6.2) is called a *moment functional*. Applying the identification (6.1) and the measure transport theorem, one can deduce from the Riesz-Haviland characterization of Hamburger moment problem on $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_{\mathbf{a}})$ (cf. [**34, 22, 23**]) that

> if $\Delta^*(A) \neq \varnothing$, then a linear functional $\Lambda\colon A \to \mathbb{K}$ is a moment functional if and only if $\Lambda(a) \geqslant 0$ for every $a \in A$ such that $\hat{a} \geqslant 0$. (6.3)

It follows directly from (6.3) that

> if $\Delta^*(A) \neq \varnothing$ and $\Lambda_k\colon A \to \mathbb{K}$, $k \geqslant 1$, is a sequence of moment functionals which is pointwise convergent to a function $\Lambda\colon A \to \mathbb{K}$, then Λ is a moment functional. (6.4)

Remark 6.1. If I is a proper $*$-ideal of A, then the quotient $*$-algebra A/I is finitely generated and the set $\{\gamma \in \Delta^*(A)\colon I \subset \ker\gamma\}$ is a closed subset of $\Delta^*(A)$ which is homeomorphic to $\Delta^*(A/I)$ via the mapping $\gamma \mapsto \gamma_I$, where $\gamma_I(a + I) := \gamma(a)$ for $a \in A$. Similarly, the set $\{\Lambda \in \mathcal{PD}(A)\colon I \subset \ker\Lambda\}$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{PD}(A)$ which is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{PD}(A/I)$ via the mapping $\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda_I$, where $\Lambda_I(a + I) := \Lambda(a)$ for $a \in A$.

In what follows, we regard $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ as the $*$-algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ with the unique involution such that $X_j^* = X_j$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Thus the involution of $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ is the identity mapping. Note that the $*$-algebra A which has selfadjoint generators is $*$-isomorphic to a quotient $*$-algebra $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/I$, where I is a proper $*$-ideal of $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ (apply the homomorphism theorem to the $*$-algebra epimorphism $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n] \ni P \mapsto P(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in A$, where $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ are selfadjoint generators of A). Otherwise, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and $A_{\mathrm{R}} \neq A$. In this particular case, the $*$-algebra A is $*$-isomorphic to a quotient $*$-algebra $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n]/I$, where I is a proper $*$-ideal of the $*$-algebra $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n]$ which is equipped with the unique involution such that $X_j^* = Y_j$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

We now discuss Δ^*-separativity of the model $*$-algebra $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/I$ leaving the case $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n]/I$ aside (it is more complicated and not essential for the main purpose of this paper).

LEMMA 6.2. *Let I be a proper $*$-ideal of $A = \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Then the following assertions hold:*

(i) *$\Delta^*(A/I) \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $\mathcal{Z}(I) \neq \varnothing$, where $\mathcal{Z}(I)$ is defined in* (2.1),

(ii) *if $\mathcal{Z}(I) \neq \varnothing$, then the mapping*

$$\Psi_I \colon \Delta^*(A/I) \ni \chi \mapsto (\chi(X_1 + I), \dots, \chi(X_n + I)) \in \mathcal{Z}(I)$$

is a well defined homeomorphism such that

$$\chi(P + I) = P(\Psi_I(\chi)), \quad P \in A,\ \chi \in \Delta^*(A/I), \tag{6.5}$$

(iii) *A/I is Δ^*-separative if and only if I is a set ideal.*

PROOF. (i) & (ii) Suppose that $\Delta^*(A/I) \neq \varnothing$. It is easily seen that (6.5) holds. Moreover, $\Psi_I(\chi) \in \mathcal{Z}(I)$ for every $\chi \in \Delta^*(A/I)$, because

$$P(\Psi_I(\chi)) \overset{(6.5)}{=} \chi(P + I) = \chi(0 + I) = 0, \quad P \in I.$$

Conversely, if $x \in \mathcal{Z}(I)$, then the mapping $\gamma_x \colon A/I \ni P + I \mapsto P(x) \in \mathbb{K}$ is well defined and $\gamma_x \in \Delta^*(A/I)$. What is more, $\Psi_I(\gamma_x) = x$. It follows from (6.5) that $\gamma_{\Psi_I(\chi)} = \chi$ for all $\chi \in \Delta^*(A/I)$, which means that $\Psi_I^{-1}(x) = \gamma_x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{Z}(I)$. That Ψ_I is a homeomorphism is now obvious.

(iii) Suppose that $I = \mathcal{I}(Z) := \{P \in A \colon \forall\, x \in Z \ \ P(x) = 0\}$, where $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. If $P \in A \setminus I$, then there exists $x \in Z \subset \mathcal{Z}(I)$ such that $P(x) \neq 0$. Hence $\gamma_x(P + I) \neq 0$, which means that A/I is Δ^*-separative. Conversely, if A/I is Δ^*-separative, then $\bigcap_{\chi \in \Delta^*(A/I)} \ker \chi = \{0\}$. In view of (i) and (ii), this equality implies that every $P \in A$ which vanishes on $\mathcal{Z}(I) (\neq \varnothing)$ belongs to I. In other words, $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I)) \subset I$. Since the reverse inclusion is always true, we get $I = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I))$. □

Referring to Lemma 6.2, note that if I is a set ideal of $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ of the form $I = \mathcal{I}(Z)$, where $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then $\mathcal{Z}(I)$ is the closure of Z in the Zariski topology, and $I = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I))$ (use Lemma 2.1 and consult [**12**, Section 9]).

We show that if the $*$-algebra A has selfadjoint generators and $\Delta^*(A) = \varnothing$, then $\mathcal{PD}(A) = \{0\}$, which means that A can be thought of as a semiperfect $*$-algebra.

PROPOSITION 6.3. *Let A be a finitely generated commutative unital $*$-algebra over $\mathbb{K}$, which in the case of $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ is equipped with the identity involution. Then $\Delta^*(A) = \varnothing$ if and only if $\mathcal{PD}(A) = \{0\}$.*

PROOF. The "if" part of the conclusion is obvious. Suppose now that $\Delta^*(A) = \varnothing$. As we know, the $*$-algebra A is $*$-isomorphic to a quotient $*$-algebra B/I, where I is a proper $*$-ideal of $B = \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. By Lemma 6.2 (i), we have $\mathcal{Z}(I) = \varnothing$. This implies that $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I)) = B$. Take $\Xi \in \mathcal{PD}(B/I)$ and set $\Lambda(Q) = \Xi(Q + I)$ for $Q \in B$. Then $\Lambda \in \mathcal{PD}(B)$ and $\Lambda|_I = 0$. This, together with Lemma 2.5 (the real case) and Lemma 4.3 (the complex case), implies that the functional Λ vanishes on $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I)) = B$. Hence, $\Xi = 0$, which completes the proof. □

Below, we characterize semiperfectness of the model $*$-algebra $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$.

PROPOSITION 6.4. *If I is a $*$-ideal of $A = \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, then the following two conditions are equivalent*:

(i) *$\mathcal{Z}(I) \neq \varnothing$ and every positive definite linear functional $\Lambda \colon A \to \mathbb{K}$ vanishing on I is a moment functional on $\mathcal{Z}(I)$,*

(ii) *the ideal I is proper and the $*$-algebra A/I is semiperfect.*

PROOF. (i)⇒(ii) As $\mathcal{Z}(I) \neq \varnothing$, we see that $I \neq A$ and, by Proposition 6.2 (i), $\Delta^*(A/I) \neq \varnothing$. Take $\Xi \in \mathcal{PD}(A/I)$ and set $\Lambda(Q) = \Xi(Q+I)$ for $Q \in A$. Then $\Lambda \in \mathcal{PD}(A)$ and $\Lambda|_I = 0$. Hence Λ is a moment functional on $\mathcal{Z}(I)$ with a representing measure μ. By Lemma 6.2 (ii) and the measure transport theorem, we have

$$\Xi(Q+I) = \int_{\mathcal{Z}(I)} Q(x)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) = \int_{\Delta^*(A/I)} Q(\Psi_I(\chi))\, \mathrm{d}(\mu \circ \Psi_I)(\chi) \overset{(6.5)}{=} \int_{\Delta^*(A/I)} \chi(Q+I)\, \mathrm{d}(\mu \circ \Psi_I)(\chi), \quad Q \in A,$$

where $\mu \circ \Psi_I(\sigma) = \mu(\Psi_I(\sigma))$ for a Borel subset σ of $\Delta^*(A/I)$. This gives (ii).

(ii)⇒(i) By Proposition 6.2 (i), we have $\mathcal{Z}(I) \neq \varnothing$. If $\Lambda \in \mathcal{PD}(A)$ vanishes on I, then the mapping $\Xi\colon A/I \ni Q+I \mapsto \Lambda(Q) \in \mathbb{K}$ is well defined and $\Xi \in \mathcal{PD}(A/I)$. Hence there exists a positive Borel measure ν on $\Delta^*(A/I)$ such that

$$\Lambda(Q) = \int_{\Delta^*(A/I)} \chi(Q+I)\, \mathrm{d}\nu(\chi) \overset{(6.5)}{=} \int_{\Delta^*(A/I)} Q(\Psi_I(\chi))\, \mathrm{d}\nu(\chi) = \int_{\mathcal{Z}(I)} Q(x)\, \mathrm{d}(\nu \circ \Psi_I^{-1})(x), \quad Q \in A,$$

which completes the proof. □

COROLLARY 6.5. *Let I and J be proper $*$-ideals of $A = \mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that $\mathcal{Z}(I) = \mathcal{Z}(J)$ and for every $\Lambda \in \mathcal{PD}(A)$, $\Lambda|_I = 0$ if and only if $\Lambda|_J = 0$. Then the $*$-algebra A/I is semiperfect if and only if the $*$-algebra A/J is semiperfect.*

Recall that (P) is the ideal of $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ generated by a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ and $\mathcal{Z}_P := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n\colon P(x) = 0\}$.

COROLLARY 6.6. *If $P \in A = \mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, $P = P^*$ and $\mathcal{Z}_P \neq \varnothing$, then the ideals (P) and $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_P)$ are proper and the following two conditions are equivalent*:

(i) *the polynomial P is of type* A (*respectively* B),
(ii) *the $*$-algebra $A/(P)$ (respectively $A/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_P)$) is semiperfect.*

PROOF. Since $\mathcal{Z}((P)) = \mathcal{Z}_P$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_P)) = \mathcal{Z}_P$, we can apply Proposition 6.4 to $*$-ideals (P) and $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_P)$ (use also [**39**, Proposition 2.1]). □

It may happen that $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/I$ is semiperfect but not Δ^*-separative.

EXAMPLE 6.7. The proper $*$-ideal $I_1 := (1 + X_1^2 + X_2^2)$ of $A = \mathbb{K}[X_1, X_2]$ has the property that $\mathcal{Z}(I_1) = \varnothing$, which by Lemma 6.2 (i) means that $\Delta^*(A/I_1) = \varnothing$. In turn, if the polynomial $P \in A$ is of the form $P(X_1, X_2) := X_1^2$, then the $*$-ideal $I_2 := (P)$ of A is proper and $\mathcal{Z}(I_2) \neq \varnothing$. By Lemma 6.2 (i), this implies that $\Delta^*(A/I_2) \neq \varnothing$. Since I_2 is not a set ideal, the $*$-algebra A/I_2 is not Δ^*-separative (cf. Lemma 6.2 (iii)). However, by [**39**, Theorem 5.4], the polynomial P is of type A (as a member of A) and hence, by Corollary 6.6, the $*$-algebra A/I_2 is semiperfect.

Recall that if $A = \mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ and $\Lambda \in \mathcal{PD}(A)$, then the set

$$\mathcal{I}_\Lambda := \{P \in A\colon \Lambda(P^*P) = 0\}$$

is the greatest ideal of A contained in the kernel of Λ (see Lemmata 2.4 and 4.2).

PROPOSITION 6.8. *Let I be a $*$-ideal of $A = \mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that $\mathcal{Z}(I) \neq \varnothing$. Then the ideal I is proper and the following two conditions are equivalent*:

(i) *every positive definite linear functional* $\Lambda\colon A \to \mathbb{K}$ *such that* $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda = I$ *is a moment functional on* $\mathcal{Z}(I)$,
(ii) *every strictly positive definite linear functional* $\Xi\colon A/I \to \mathbb{K}$ *is a moment functional.*

PROOF. Applying Remark 6.1, we verify that the mapping $\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda_I$ is a bijection between the set of all positive definite linear functionals $\Lambda\colon A \to \mathbb{K}$ such that $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda = I$ and the set of all strictly positive definite linear functionals $\Xi\colon A/I \to \mathbb{K}$. This enables us to repeat arguments used in the proof of Proposition 6.4. □

EXAMPLE 6.9. Consider the $*$-algebra $A = \mathbb{C}[X_1, X_2]$ and the proper $*$-ideal I of A generated by the polynomial $P := (X_2 - X_1^2)X_2 \in A$. By [**39**, Theorem 6.3], the polynomial P is not of type A. This means that there exists at least one positive definite complex linear functional on A vanishing on I, which is not a moment functional. Let Λ be any such functional. By Lemma 4.2 (ii), the ideal $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$ is a set ideal. This fact, when combined with the discussion in [**12**, Example 54], implies that $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_P) = I$. Thus, the mapping $\Lambda_I\colon A/I \ni Q + I \mapsto \Lambda(Q) \in \mathbb{C}$ is a well defined strictly positive definite linear functional on A/I which is not a moment functional. Summarizing, we have shown that the $*$-algebra A/I is not semiperfect and each positive definite linear functional on A/I which is not a moment functional is automatically strictly positive definite.

LEMMA 6.10. *Let* I *be a* $*$*-ideal of* $A = \mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ *generated by a finite set of polynomials* $P_1, \ldots, P_s \in A$. *Set* $P = P_1^* P_1 + \ldots + P_s^* P_s$. *Then*

(i) *for every* $\Lambda \in \mathcal{PD}(A)$, $\Lambda|_I = 0$ *if and only if* $\Lambda|_{(P)} = 0$,
(ii) *if* $I \neq A$ *(hence* $(P) \neq A$*), then the* $*$*-algebra* A/I *is semiperfect if and only if the* $*$*-algebra* $A/(P)$ *is semiperfect.*

PROOF. (i) Take $\Lambda \in \mathcal{PD}(A)$. If $\Lambda|_{(P)} = 0$, then $0 = \Lambda(P_1^* P_1) + \ldots + \Lambda(P_s^* P_s)$, which implies that $P_1, \ldots, P_s \in \mathcal{I}_\Lambda$. Since $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$ is a $*$-ideal of A contained in $\ker \Lambda$, we deduce that $I \subset \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \subset \ker \Lambda$. That $\Lambda|_I = 0$ implies $\Lambda|_{(P)} = 0$ follows from $(P) \subset I$.
(ii) If $I \neq A$, then the ideal $J := (P)$ is proper and

$$\mathcal{Z}(I) = \mathcal{Z}_{P_1} \cap \ldots \cap \mathcal{Z}_{P_s} = \mathcal{Z}_P = \mathcal{Z}(J),$$

which, when combined with (i) and Corollary 6.5, completes the proof. □

We now show that the question of semiperfectness of the model $*$-algebra $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/I$ can be reduced to studying polynomials of type A.

PROPOSITION 6.11. *If* I *is a proper* $*$*-ideal of* $A = \mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, *then there exists* $P \in I$ *such that* $P = P^*$, $(P) \neq A$ *and the following three conditions are equivalent*:

(i) A/I *is semiperfect,*
(ii) $A/(P)$ *is semiperfect,*
(iii) $\mathcal{Z}_P \neq \varnothing$ *and the polynomial* P *is of type* A.

PROOF. Apply Hilbert's basis theorem, Lemma 6.10, Corollary 6.6 and Lemma 6.2 (i). □

7. Types A and C coincide

In this section we prove that every algebraic set of type C is of type A. We begin with some auxiliary results. Denote by $\operatorname{card} A$ the cardinal number of a set A.

LEMMA 7.1. *Let X be a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of vector subspaces of X. If $\mathcal{F}$ contains a vector space E_0 with $\dim E_0 \leqslant \aleph_0$, then there exists $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that $1 \leqslant \operatorname{card} \mathcal{F}_0 \leqslant 1 + \dim E_0$ and $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \bigcap \mathcal{F}_0$.*

PROOF. Consider first the case $\dim E_0 < \aleph_0$. We have two possibilities: either $E_0 \cap E = E_0$ for every $E \in \mathcal{F}$ and so $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = E_0$, or there exists $E_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $E_0 \cap E_1 \subsetneq E_0$. Continuing this procedure, we successively find $E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_k \in \mathcal{F}$ $(k \geqslant 1)$ such that $E_0 \cap \ldots \cap E_k \subsetneq E_0 \cap \ldots \cap E_{k-1} \subsetneq \ldots \subsetneq E_0$. Since always $k \leqslant \dim E_0$, the procedure must stop at $k = n \leqslant \dim E_0$. Then $E_0 \cap \ldots \cap E_n \cap E = E_0 \cap \ldots \cap E_n$ for every $E \in \mathcal{F}$, which implies that $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = E_0 \cap \ldots \cap E_n$.

Suppose now that $\dim E_0 = \aleph_0$. Then there exist vector subspaces $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of E_0 such that $\dim F_n = n$ for every $n \geqslant 1$, and $E_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$. Set $\mathcal{F}_n = \{E \cap F_n \colon E \in \mathcal{F}\}$ for $n \geqslant 1$. By the first part of the proof, for every $n \geqslant 1$ there exists $\mathcal{F}_{n,0} \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that $1 \leqslant \operatorname{card} \mathcal{F}_{n,0} \leqslant 1+n$ and $\bigcap \mathcal{F}_n = \bigcap \{E \cap F_n \colon E \in \mathcal{F}_{n,0}\}$. Then, as easily seen, $\mathcal{F}_0 := \{E_0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{n,0}$ is the required family. □

LEMMA 7.2. *Let A be a Δ^*-separative finitely generated commutative $*$-algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ with unit. Then there exists a moment functional $\Lambda \colon A \to \mathbb{K}$ which is strictly positive definite.*

PROOF. Since $\dim A \leqslant \aleph_0$ and A is Δ^*-separative, Lemma 7.1 implies that there exists a sequence $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^{\varkappa} \subset \Delta^*(A)$ with $\varkappa \in \{1, 2, \ldots\} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{\varkappa} \ker \gamma_j = \{0\}. \tag{7.1}$$

If $\varkappa < \infty$, then, by (7.1), $\Lambda(a) = \sum_{j=1}^{\varkappa} \gamma_j(a)$, $a \in A$, defines the required functional. If $\varkappa = \infty$, then Λ can be defined by $\Lambda(a) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \gamma_j(a)$ for $a \in A$, where $c_j = j^{-2} \exp(-\delta_j)$, $\delta_j = \max_{k=1}^{n} |\gamma_j(a_k)|$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, and $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ are generators of A. Since $|t|^k \leqslant k! \exp(|t|)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, the series defining Λ is absolutely convergent. The representing measure μ of Λ is given by $\mu(\sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \chi_\sigma(\gamma_j)$ for a Borel subset σ of $\Delta^*(A)$, where χ_σ is the characteristic function of σ. That Λ is strictly positive definite follows from (7.1). □

LEMMA 7.3. *Let A be a Δ^*-separative finitely generated commutative $*$-algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ with unit. If every strictly positive definite linear functional on A is a moment functional, then the $*$-algebra A is semiperfect.*

PROOF. By Lemma 7.2, there exists a moment functional $\Theta \colon A \to \mathbb{K}$ which is strictly positive definite. Take $\Lambda \in \mathcal{PD}(A)$ and set $\Lambda_k = \Lambda + \frac{1}{k}\Theta$ for every integer $k \geqslant 1$. Then $\{\Lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of strictly positive definite linear functionals on A which pointwise converges to Λ. By (6.4) and our assumption, the functional Λ is a moment functional, which completes the proof. □

Now we are in a position to formulate the main result of the paper.

THEOREM 7.4. *An algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is of type* A *if and only if it is of type* C.

PROOF. Let V be an algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ of type C. Then $V = \mathcal{Z}_P$ for some $P \in A = \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ such that $P = P^*$. If $V = \varnothing$, then $V = \mathcal{Z}_Q$, where $Q \in A$ is given by $Q(x) = 1$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Clearly, the polynomial Q is of type A. Hence, by Theorem 4.4, the algebraic set V is of type A. Consider now the case $\mathcal{Z}_P \neq \varnothing$. Applying [**39**, Proposition 2.1] and Proposition 6.8 to the $*$-ideal $I = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_P)$ (recall that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_P)) = \mathcal{Z}_P$), we deduce that every strictly positive definite linear functional $\Xi\colon A/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_P) \to \mathbb{K}$ is a moment functional. Hence, by Lemma 6.2 (iii) and Lemma 7.3, the $*$-algebra $A/\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_P)$ is semiperfect. This and Corollary 6.6 imply that the algebraic set V is of type B. In view of Theorem 4.5, V is of type A. Since every algebraic set of type A is of type C, the proof is complete. □

Theorem 7.4 answers in the affirmative Question 2 posed in [**12**], which asks whether types A, B, and C coincide. Combining Theorem 7.4 with any example of an algebraic subset V of $\mathbb{R}^n$ which is not of type A and for which $\mathcal{I}_{\mathsf{c}}(V) \neq \{0\}$ (see e.g. [**6, 39**]), we deduce that there exist non-zero set ideals of $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ which are not of type C (n must be greater than or equal to 2). This answers in the negative Question 3 posed in [**12**]. Employing again Theorem 7.4 and the fact that for every $n \geqslant 2$, the zero polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is not of type A (cf. [**12**, statement (50)]), we see that for every integer $n \geqslant 2$, the zero ideal of $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is not of type C. This answers in the negative Question 4 posed in [**12**] (see also [**20**] for the case $n = 2$). Note, by the way, that every $*$-ideal of $\mathbb{C}[X_1]$ is of type C (see [**12**, Section 12]).

8. The multidimensional complex moment problem

In what follows, we regard $\mathcal{P}_{n,n} := \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$ as the complex $*$-algebra with the unique involution such that $X_j^* = Y_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. Recall that $\mathcal{P}_{2n}$ stands for the complex $*$-algebra $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_{2n}]$ equipped with the unique involution such that $X_j^* = X_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, 2n$ (cf. Section 4). Using the homeomorphism $\mathbb{C}^n \ni z \mapsto \gamma_z \in \mathit{\Delta}^*(\mathcal{P}_{n,n})$ given by

$$\gamma_z(Q) = Q(z, \bar{z}), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n,\ Q \in \mathcal{P}_{n,n},$$

and the measure transport theorem, we see that a map $\mathit{\Lambda}\colon \mathcal{P}_{n,n} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a moment functional if and only if there exists a positive Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\mathit{\Lambda}(Q) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} Q(z, \bar{z})\, \mathrm{d}\mu(z), \quad Q \in \mathcal{P}_{n,n}. \tag{8.1}$$

This is exactly the n-dimensional complex moment problem, which entails determining whether for a given map $\mathit{\Lambda}\colon \mathcal{P}_{n,n} \to \mathbb{C}$ there exists a positive Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying condition (8.1). The interested reader is referred to [**26, 18, 25, 2, 43, 44, 21, 4, 5, 32, 17, 40, 41, 42, 19**] for further information on this subject. We now adapt the notions of types A, B, and C to the context of the complex moment problem. First, note that a real algebraic subset V of $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ can be written in terms of the complex variable as follows

$$V = \mathcal{Z}_P := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n\colon P(z, \bar{z}) = 0\} \quad \text{for some } P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,n}.$$

If (8.1) holds and $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,n}$, then the closed support of the measure μ is contained in $\mathcal{Z}_P$ if and only if the functional $\mathit{\Lambda}$ vanishes on the ideal (P) of $\mathcal{P}_{n,n}$ generated by P, or equivalently on the ideal $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_P) := \{Q \in \mathcal{P}_{n,n}\colon Q|_{\mathcal{Z}_P} = 0\}$ (cf. [**40**, Proposition 1]). We say that a polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,n}$, or the real algebraic set $V = \mathcal{Z}_P$, is of *type*

A (respectively: B, C) with respect to $\mathcal{P}_{n,n}$ if every positive definite linear functional $\Lambda\colon \mathcal{P}_{n,n} \to \mathbb{C}$ for which $(P) \subset \ker \Lambda$ (respectively: $\mathcal{I}(V) \subset \ker \Lambda$, $\mathcal{I}(V) = \mathcal{I}_\Lambda$) is a moment functional; here $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda := \{Q \in \mathcal{P}_{n,n}\colon \Lambda(Q^*Q) = 0\}$. Note now that the mapping $\Gamma\colon \mathcal{P}_{2n} \to \mathcal{P}_{n,n}$ defined by

$$P \mapsto P\Big(\frac{1}{2}(X_1+Y_1), \dots, \frac{1}{2}(X_n+Y_n), \frac{1}{2\,\mathrm{i}}(X_1-Y_1), \dots, \frac{1}{2\,\mathrm{i}}(X_n-Y_n)\Big)$$

is a $*$-algebra isomorphism between $*$-algebras $\mathcal{P}_{2n}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n,n}$. It is easily seen that $\Gamma((P)) = (\Gamma(P))$, $\mathcal{Z}_{\Gamma(P)} = \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_P)$ and $\Gamma(\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_P)) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_{\Gamma(P)})$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}$, where ϕ is the mapping from $\mathbb{C}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ given by

$$\phi(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\mathfrak{Re}\, z_1, \dots, \mathfrak{Re}\, z_n, \mathfrak{Im}\, z_1, \dots, \mathfrak{Im}\, z_n), \quad z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This enables us to show that a polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,n}$ is of type A (respectively: B, C) with respect to $\mathcal{P}_{n,n}$ if and only if the polynomial $\Gamma^{-1}(P) \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}$ is of type A (respectively: B, C) with respect to $\mathcal{P}_{2n}$. Hence, we can immediately adapt Theorems 4.4 and 7.4 to the context of the n-dimensional complex moment problem.

THEOREM 8.1. *Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_{n,n}$ be such that $\mathcal{Z}_P = \mathcal{Z}_Q$. Then P is of type A with respect to $\mathcal{P}_{n,n}$ if and only if Q is of type A with respect to $\mathcal{P}_{n,n}$.*

THEOREM 8.2. *A real algebraic subset of $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is of type A with respect to $\mathcal{P}_{n,n}$ if and only if it is of type C with respect to $\mathcal{P}_{n,n}$.*

Appendix A.

Here, we aim to give the complex counterparts of Lemmata 2.2 and 2.3, and the equality (ii) of Lemma 2.4. We preserve the notation of Section 4. In particular, $\mathcal{R}_n$ and $\mathcal{P}_n$ are real and complex polynomial rings which are regarded as $*$-algebras ($\mathcal{R}_n$ with the identity involution).

A $*$-ideal I of $\mathcal{P}_n$ is said to be *$*$-real* if for every finite sequence $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \in \mathcal{P}_n$ such that $Q_1^*Q_1 + \cdots + Q_s^*Q_s \in I$, we have $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \in I$. Recall that if I is a $*$-ideal of $\mathcal{P}_n$, then $I_{\mathsf{r}} := \{P \in I\colon P^* = P\}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{R}_n$ and $I = I_{\mathsf{r}} + \mathrm{i}\, I_{\mathsf{r}}$. It is a routine matter to show that a $*$-ideal I of $\mathcal{P}_n$ is $*$-real if and only if the ideal I_{r} of $\mathcal{R}_n$ is real. Moreover, if J is a real ideal of $\mathcal{R}_n$, then $J + \mathrm{i}J$ is a $*$-ideal of $\mathcal{P}_n$ which is $*$-real. Given a $*$-ideal I of $\mathcal{P}_n$, we denote by $\sqrt[*\mathrm{R}]{I}$ the smallest $*$-real $*$-ideal of $\mathcal{P}_n$ containing I, and call it the *$*$-real radical* of I. In view of the above discussion, the following can be deduced from Lemma 2.2.

LEMMA A.1. *If I is a $*$-ideal of $\mathcal{P}_n$, then $\sqrt[*\mathrm{R}]{I} = \sqrt[\mathrm{R}]{I_{\mathsf{r}}} + \mathrm{i}\sqrt[\mathrm{R}]{I_{\mathsf{r}}}$.*

THEOREM A.2 ($*$-Real Nullstellensatz). *If I is a $*$-ideal of $\mathcal{P}_n$, then*

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathcal{Z}(I)) = \sqrt[*\mathrm{R}]{I}.$$

PROOF. Apply Lemma A.1, Lemma 2.3 and the equality (4.2). □

PROPOSITION A.3. *If I is a $*$-ideal of $\mathcal{P}_n$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$, then P belongs to $\sqrt[*\mathrm{R}]{I}$ if and only if there exist finitely many polynomials $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and an integer $m \geqslant 0$ such that $(P^*P)^{2m} + Q_1^*Q_1 + \cdots + Q_s^*Q_s \in I$.*

PROOF. This can be deuced from Lemma A.1 and Lemma 2.2 via standard algebraic arguments. □

We say that a proper $*$-ideal of $\mathcal{P}_n$ is *$*$-prime* if for all $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_n$ such that $PQ \in I$ and $PQ^* \in I$, either P or Q belongs to I. It is a matter of routine to verify that a $*$-ideal I of $\mathcal{P}_n$ is $*$-prime if and only if the ideal $I_{\mathfrak{r}}$ of $\mathcal{R}_n$ is prime. Moreover, if J is a prime ideal of $\mathcal{R}_n$, then $J + \mathrm{i}J$ is a $*$-ideal of $\mathcal{P}_n$ which is $*$-prime. This, combined with Lemma A.1 and the definition of $\sqrt[\mathrm{R}]{I_{\mathfrak{r}}}$, leads to the following description of $\sqrt[*\mathrm{R}]{I}$.

PROPOSITION A.4. *If I is a $*$-ideal of $\mathcal{P}_n$, then $\sqrt[*\mathrm{R}]{I}$ is the intersection of all $*$-real $*$-prime $*$-ideals of $\mathcal{P}_n$ containing I provided such a $*$-ideal exists, and $\sqrt[*\mathrm{R}]{I} = \mathcal{P}_n$ otherwise.*

LEMMA A.5. *If Λ is a positive definite complex linear functional on $\mathcal{P}_n$, then $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda^{\mathfrak{c}} = \sqrt[*\mathrm{R}]{\mathcal{I}_\Lambda^{\mathfrak{c}}}$.*

References

[1] N. I. Akhiezer, *The classical moment problem*, Hafner Publ. Co., New York, 1965.

[2] A. Atzmon, A moment problem for positive measures on the unit disc, *Pacific J. Math.* **59** (1975), 317-325.

[3] R. Benedetti, J.-J. Risler, *Real algebraic and semi-algebraic sets*, Actualités Mathématiques, Hermann, Paris, 1990.

[4] C. Berg, J. P. R. Christensen, P. Ressel, *Harmonic Analysis on Semigroups*, Springer, Berlin, 1984.

[5] T. M. Bisgaard, The two-sided complex moment problem, *Ark. Mat.* **27** (1989), 23-28.

[6] T. M. Bisgaard, Extensions of Hamburger's theorem, *Semigroup Forum* **57** (1998), 397-429.

[7] T. M. Bisgaard, On the growth of positive definite double sequences which are not moment squences, *Math. Nachr.* **210** (2000), 67-83.

[8] T. M. Bisgaard, An example of a positive semidefinite double sequence which is not a moment sequence, *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal* **54** (2004), 273-277.

[9] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, M.-F. Roy, *Real Algebraic Geometry*, Springer 1998.

[10] G. Cassier, Problème des moments sur un compact de $\mathbb{R}^n$ et décomposition de polynómes à plusieurs variables, *J. Funct. Anal.* **58** (1984), 254-266.

[11] G. Choquet, Le problème des moments, in "*Séminaire d'initiation à l'analyse,* 1*ère année*", Inst. Henri Poincaré, Paris, 1962.

[12] D. Cichoń, J. Stochel, F. H. Szafraniec, Three term recurrence relation modulo ideal and orthogonality of polynomials of several variables, *Journal of Approximation Theory* **134** (2005), 11-64.

[13] R. E. Curto, L. A. Fialkow, The truncated K-moment problem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352** (2000), 2825-2855.

[14] R. E. Curto, L. A. Fialkow, The quadratic moment problem for the unit disc and unit circle, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **38** (2000), 377-409.

[15] R. E. Curto, L. A. Fialkow, Solution of the parabolic moment problem, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **50** (2004), 169-196.

[16] R. E. Curto, L. A. Fialkow, Solution of the truncated hyperbolic moment problem, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **52** (2005), 181-218.

[17] R. E. Curto, M. Putinar, *Nearly subnormal operators and moment problems*, J. Funct. Anal. **115** (1993), 480–497.

[18] A. Devinatz, Integral representations of positive definite functions. II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **77** (1954), 455-480.

[19] L. A. Fialkow, Truncated complex moment problems with a $\bar{Z}Z$ relation, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **45** (2003), 405-435.

[20] J. Friedrich, A note on the two-dimensional moment problem, *Math. Nachr.* **121** (1985), 285-286.

[21] B. Fuglede, The multidimensional moment problem, *Expo. Math.* **1** (1983), 47-65.

[22] E. K. Haviland, On the momentum problem for distributions in more than one dimension, *Amer. J. Math.* **57** (1935), 562-568.

[23] E. K. Haviland, On the momentum problem for distributions in more than one dimension, II, *Amer. J. Math.* **58** (1936), 164-168.
[24] T. W. Hungerford, *Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 73, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
[25] Y. Kilpi, Über das komplexe Momenten Problem, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Ser. AI* **236** (1957), 1-32.
[26] M. G. Krein, Über eine neue Klasse von Hermitischen Formen und über eine Verallgemeinerung des trigonometrischen Momentenproblems, *Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR*, **9** (1933), 1259-1275.
[27] P. H. Maserick, Moments of measures on convex bodies, *Pacific J. Math.* **68** (1977), 135-152.
[28] J. L. McGregor, Solvability criteria for certain N-dimensional moment problems, *J. Approx. Theory* **30** (1980), 315-333.
[29] Y. Nakamura, N. Sakakibara, Perfectness of certain subsemigroups of a perfect semigroup, *Math. Ann.* **287** (1990), 213-220.
[30] V. Powers, Positive polynomials and the moment problem for cylinders with compact cross-section, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **188** (2004), 217-226.
[31] V. Powers, C. Scheiderer, The moment problem for non-compact semialgebraic sets, *Adv. Geom.* **1** (2001), 71-88.
[32] M. Putinar, Sur la complexification du problème des moments, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* **314** (1992), 743-745.
[33] M. Putinar, F.-H. Vasilescu, Solving moment problems by dimensional extension, *Ann. Math.* **149** (1999), 1087-1107.
[34] M. Riesz, Sur le problème des moments, Troisième Note, *Arkiv für Matematik, Astronomi och Fysik* **17** (1923), 1-52.
[35] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974.
[36] K. Schmüdgen, An example of a positive polynomial which is not a sum of squares of polynomials. A positive, but not strongly positive functional, *Math. Nachr.* **88** (1979), 385-390.
[37] K. Schmüdgen, The K-moment problem for compact semi-algebraic sets, *Math. Ann.* **289** (1991), 203-206.
[38] K. Schmüdgen, On the moment problem of closed semi-algebraic sets, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **558** (2003), 225-234.
[39] J. Stochel, Moment functions on real algebraic sets, *Ark. Mat.* **30** (1992), 133-148. J.
[40] J. Stochel, F. H. Szafraniec, Algebraic operators and moments on algebraic sets, *Portugal. Math.* **51** (1994), 25-45.
[41] J. Stochel, F. H. Szafraniec, The complex moment problem and subnormality: a polar decomposition approach, *J. Funct. Anal.* **159** (1998), 432-491.
[42] J. Stochel, F. H. Szafraniec, Domination of unbounded operators and commutativity, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **55** (2003), 405-437.
[43] F. H. Szafraniec, Boundedness of the shift operator related to positive defnite forms: an application to moment problems, *Ark. Mat.*, **19** (1981), 251-259.
[44] F. H. Szafraniec, Moments on compact sets, in "*Prediction Theory and Harmonic Analysis*", The Pesi Masani Volume, eds. V. Mandrekar and H. Salehi, pp. 379-385, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.

Nandrupsvej 7 st. th., DK-2000 Frederiksberg, Denmark
E-mail address: torben.bisgaard@get2net.dk

Instytut Matematyki, Uniwersytet Jagielloński, ul. Reymonta 4, PL-30059 Kraków
E-mail address: stochel@im.uj.edu.pl